Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/754,20(4/5) (BOTH)	GELBER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brett T Ozga	1651
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
(1) Brett T Ozga.	(3) Thomas Pou	vers
(2) Jean Witz	(3) Thomas Pour (4) Terry Kra	ref
Date of Interview: 6/12/01	U	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	: 2)⊠ applicant's representati	ive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: Method claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Identification of prior art discussed.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reache	ed. g)☐ was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked).	endments which the examiner as to copy of the amendments that the ched.	greed would render the claims would render the claims.
# (4) + Many combinations - elected + Nutracertical - one biol > More evidence needed > Ind. claims too broad?	EW. (See MPEP Section 713.04 NE MONTH FROM THIS INTER EW. See Summary of Record of Species? ogical pathway (No overcome prima for a diress embodiment) Also, election of section of secti	f). If a reply to the last Office RVIEW DATE TO FILE A of Interview requirements on feel allowers. For masking) Facile obvious ness Tindividually
Nutracetical elected in Clm	reed!	72 of (5)
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Dan	CWAN
Attachment to a signed Office action. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Examiner's sign	nature, if required
	rview Summary	Paper No